

AI Powered Deposition Summaries

NOT ALL SUMMARIES ARE CREATED EQUAL

We utilize patent-pending technology to produce industry-leading deposition summaries delivered alongside the full transcript. Highlights include:

- Page/Line Summary with 100% Accurate Page / Line Citations:** The most detailed document, comprehensive of all information from beginning to end, in chronological order. It includes a table of contents and a narrative-form conversion of the dialogue with 100% accurate page/line citations.
- Key Admissions Extraction:** Key admissions are particularly useful for getting a quick yet thorough understanding of the key takeaways from the deposition based on facts alleged in the complaint. Includes page/line citations.
- Deposition Memos (Thematic Summary):** Offers a succinct and focused summary of the most important themes discussed throughout, irrelevant of chronology, separating central points from less pertinent information found in the 'miscellaneous/ other' section.
- Hyperlinked to the Original Transcript:** Facilitate human review with easy navigation links back and forth between the summary sections and the source dialogue.



We Safeguard Your Data

Zero Data Retention: Our AI engine has a zero data retention policy ensuring data cannot be retained, viewed or used. If you are using another AI Summary tool, ask the provider if your data is being used to process other client's transcripts. What they might tell you is some variation of 'your data is not available to other users' but not if they are keeping or using your data to help build their database and 'train the brain'.

What this means to you is you'll have complete confidence in safeguarding your client's data with our AI Summaries. Our summary tool uses patent pending technology to produce the industry-leading deposition summaries without using your data to enhance the tool for the benefit of others.

Secure Data Processing: SOC 2 compliant servers.

Principle of Least Privilege: Ensures minimum necessary access privileges for systems and employees.

Data Encryption: Data is encrypted at rest and in transit, with periodic key rotation.

Robert Jacobson Deposition Summary

Scarlett Lewis vs. Alex E. Jones, Infowars, LLC, And Free Speech Systems, LL

DEPOSITION ABSTRACT

Robert Jacobson, a former employee of InfoWars, provided a detailed account of his experiences and observations related to a dispute involving InfoWars' coverage of the Sandy Hook incident. Jacobson, who was Alex Jones in 2004 and worked for the company until 2017, discussed the internal dynamics at InfoWars, and shift in journalistic practices and the company's growth from a small operation to a larger media entity with members. He expressed discomfort with the company's coverage of Sandy Hook, particularly the promotion of theories that suggested the event was staged and involved "crisis actors." Jacobson criticized the lack of respect for journalism and fact-checking in the coverage, highlighting his attempts to address these issues internally, with laughter and dismissal by his colleagues.

Jacobson also touched on his technical expertise in video technology, discussing a specific allegation related to a clip of Anderson Cooper, which conspiracy theorists claimed was a green screen composite. He refuted this claim on his professional experience, suggesting that the video's anomalies could be attributed to other factors, such as YouTube's compression artifacts.

Furthermore, Jacobson revealed his personal grievances with InfoWars, including filing a complaint with the Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) against Alex Jones for abusive, unethical, and racist behavior criticisms and the personal fallout with InfoWars. Jacobson maintained that his testimony was truthful and aimed to highlight the irresponsible actions of InfoWars in relation to the Sandy Hook coverage. He emphasized the importance of adhering to journalistic standards and expressed regret over his involvement in the coverage, which he believes contributed to the harassment of Sandy Hook parents by conspiracy theorists.

KEY ADMISIONS

- During a deposition, there was a dispute between Mr. Enoch and Mr. Bankston about whether Mr. Enoc

EXAMINATION

Robert Jacobson Introduces Himself During a Deposition

Topic	Summary
Introduction by Jacobson	Robert Jacobson introduced himself. (4:13 - 4:15)
Dispute Over Right to Question Witness Due to Lack of Subpoena and Confidentiality Agreements; Deposition Suspended	
Topic	Summary
Dispute over questioning rights	Robert Jacobson was questioned during a deposition. There was a dispute between Mr. Enoch and Mr. Bankston about whether Mr. Enoch had the right to ask questions. Mr. Enoch attempted to ask if Jacobson had been served with a subpoena, but Mr. Bankston insisted that Enoch had no authority to question the witness. Mr. Enoch argued that Jacobson was under a non-disclosure agreement and a confidentiality agreement, and without a subpoena, he could not testify. Mr. Bankston countered that he had served a Notice of Deposition, and that Enoch had already informed Jacobson of his confidentiality obligations. The dialogue ended without Jacobson answering any questions. (4:16 - 21:18)

Transcript

Citation	Question / Answer
4:13 - 4:15	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Jacobson. Can you introduce yourself for our record? A I am Robert Jacobson.
4:16 - 21:18	Q Okay, MR. ENOCH: Mark, I'd like to ask a couple of questions and make a comment real quickly. MR. BANKSTON: I don't think you've been given any orders from the Court to do any discovery. So, no, Mr. Enoch, you're not asking this witness any questions. MR. ENOCH: Mr. Jacobson -- MR. BANKSTON: Mr. Enoch -- MR. ENOCH: -- were you served with a subpoena? MR. BANKSTON: Mr. Enoch, please point me to the order in which you've been allowed to do any discovery or take any questions of any witness. Point me to it, Mr. Enoch. MR. ENOCH: Please do not -- MR. BANKSTON: Right now, point me to it. MR. ENOCH: Please do not interrupt. MR. BANKSTON: Then you're going to -- Mr. Enoch, stop talking to the witness. MR. ENOCH: Mr. Jacobson -- MR. BANKSTON: Mr. Enoch, this deposition will be suspended, and I will seek sanctions if you speak one more time to this witness. MR. ENOCH: Mr. Jacobson, have you been served with a deposition subpoena? MR. BANKSTON: Mr. Enoch, we're going off the record. We're done. The deposition is done. MR. ENOCH: We are not going off the record. MR. BANKSTON: The deposition is suspended. MR. ENOCH: We are not going off the record. MR. BANKSTON: You have no ability to take any

Robert Jacobson Deposition Memo

Lewis vs. Alex E. Jones, Infowars, LLC, And Free Speech Systems, LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- Employment At Infowars From 2004 To 2017 And His Exposure To The Company's Coverage Of
- The Use Of "Crisis Actors" In The Sandy Hook Coverage And Allegations Made By Infowars
- tion's And Opinions On The Irresponsible Behavior Of Infowars Staff Regarding Sandy Hook
- mination And Workplace Harassment, As Indicated By Jacobson's EEOC Complaint
- on And The Spreading Of False Information Related To The Sandy Hook Incident
- ions For Jacobson If The Sandy Hook Parents Are Awarded A Significant Amount Of Money,
- g His Own Compensation From The EEOC Ruling
- ight To Question The Witness During The Deposition
- And Legal Standing To Appear For The Deposition Without A Court Order
- hibit 2 And Exhibit 3 During The Deposition, Including Discussions On Video Technology And
- Screens
- on'S Employment At Infowars From 2004 To 2017 And His Exposure To
- The Company'S Coverage Of Sandy Hook
- s Ethical Journalism is Good; Feels Guilty About Infowars' Sandy Hook Coverage
- t and journalistic standards: Robert Jacobson believes that media, including alternative media,
- good if it adheres to established journalistic standards. However, if these standards are not followed,
- fusion and negativity. He asserts that there should always be a professional standard of journalism.

